



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/941,174	09/30/97	BRUSKY	K 27757-00125

STEVEN R GREENFIELD
JENKENS & GILCHRIST
1445 ROSS AVENUE
SUITE 3200
DALLAS TX 75202

LM02/0405

EXAMINER

HUANG, S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2711

DATE MAILED:

04/05/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

08/941,174

Applicant(s)

Brusky et al.

Examiner

Sam Huang

Group Art Unit

2711



☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____.

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 2711

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 2, 5, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Internet article entitled *Destination Features* (hereinafter "Internet Article I") (August 21, 1996) in view of Duffield et al. (US 4,959,720).

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 14, Internet Article I discloses a Gateway 2000 Destination big screen PC system comprising: a computer converged with a television (page 1); a 31 inch monitor connected to the computer system (page 3); and an alphanumeric keyboard for providing alphanumeric information to the Gateway 2000 Destination PC system (page 4). Internet Article I fails to specifically disclose a system capable of interpreting predetermined alphanumeric key presses on the alphanumeric keyboard as a channel macro for selecting a predetermined network.

Art Unit: 2711

However, Duffield teaches a tuner control apparatus having tune-by-label capacity whereby a user is allowed to enter alphanumeric labels associated with respective network channels to be tuned, so that, thereafter, a network channel to be tuned may be selected by the user by entering the alphanumeric label (see Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Gateway 2000 Destination PC system by the teachings of Duffield in order to relieve the viewer from remembering which channel number corresponds to which network.

Concerning claim 5, Internet Article I discloses a wireless keyboard for keying in alphanumeric information to the system (page 4).

As for claims 15, Internet Article I discloses a plurality of subsystems comprising the Internet and the latest multimedia games (page 1).

4. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Internet article entitled *Destination Features* (hereinafter "Internet Article I") (August 21, 1996) in view of Duffield et al. (US 4,959,720) further in view of Internet article entitled *The Big-Tube PCTV* (hereinafter "Internet Article II") (May 28, 1996).

As for claims 3 and 4, Internet Article I and Duffield fail to specifically show that the channel macro is implemented in either the TV mode or the computer mode. However, Internet Article II further explains the Gateway 2000 Destination PCTV system allows the viewer to "read e-mail, write checks, or connect to the Web in one or more windows while watching TV in another [window]. [The viewer] could let the TV picture take over the entire screen when the

Art Unit: 2711

commercial ends.” Since Internet Article II further explains the features of Gateway 2000 Destination, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Gateway 2000 Destination with dual TV and computer mode by the teachings of Duffield so that a viewer may implement the channel macro in either the TV or computer mode.

5. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Internet article entitled *Destination Features* (hereinafter “Internet Article I”)(August 21, 1996) in view of Duffield et al. (US 4,959,720) further in view of Takegawa et al. (US 5,379,454).

Regarding claim 6 and 7, Internet Article I and Duffield fail to specifically show the channel macro providing the user a list of potential networks and providing the user a best guess of potential networks based on the alphanumeric key presses made by the user. However, Takegawa shows a station selection device in a tuner for automatic selection of channels according to part or full alphanumeric input information (see Figure 3 and Abstract). According to Takegawa’s invention, a user enters a partial or full alphanumeric broadcast station name and the device will try to match the station closely identical to the input (“best guess” feature) and generate a list of available stations for the user to cycle through until one is desired. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Gateway 2000 Destination and Duffield’s invention by the teachings of Takegawa in order to further relieve the viewer from remembering the broadcaster’s full network labels so that the viewer may tune into the desired network with recalling only a few alphanumeric letters.

Art Unit: 2711

Claims 8 and 13 are the method claims of system claims 1-7. Therefore, claims 8 and 13 are rejected for the reasons given with respect to claims 1-7.

As for claim 9, Internet Article I discloses a PCTV system with a Harman Interactive SmartTV Software wherein the option of a TV Guide on-line service may be provided to the user and wherein the TV Guide Grid scrolls through two weeks of TV program guide information.

Concerning claim 10, Internet Article I discloses a PCTV system with a TV Guide but does not specifically disclose highlighting the selected network station. The feature of highlighting the network station selected by the viewer is extremely well known in the interactive program guide art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the highlighting feature in an electronic TV Guide in order to indicate to the view of the selection he/she has made.

As for claim 11, Internet Article I discloses a windowing feature for scaling the size of an active TV window on the 31-inch monitor (page 3).

Concerning claim 12, Internet Article I discloses a Channel Control feature where channels are displayed on the monitor when viewer enters specific channels (page 2).

Conclusion

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Art Unit: 2711

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED
PROCEDURE")

Or:

(703) 308-5399, (for informal or draft communications, please label
"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal
Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner
should be directed to Sam Huang whose telephone number is (703) 305-0627. The examiner can
normally be reached on M-Th from 8:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's
supervisor, Andrew -Faile, can be reached on (703) 305-4380. The fax phone number for this
Group is (703) 308-5359.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding
should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

SH *SH*
March 22, 1999

Andrew Faile
ANDREW I. FAILE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2700